



2026:PHHC:073604

2026:PHHC:073604

CRM-M-18303-2026

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-18303-2026
Decided on: 11.05.2026

Sandeep Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Deepanshu Nagra, Advocate for
Mr. V.B. Godara, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.114 dated 14.03.2026, under Sections 21(B) and 27A of NDPS Act at Police Station City Fatehabad (wrongly mentioned in the order dated 24.03.2026 as PS Sadar Fatehabad), District Fatehabad.

2. On 06.04.2026, following order was passed:

“(i) xx xx xx xx

(ii) *As per the case of prosecution, 6.08 grams of heroin was recovered from the right pocket of the lower worn by the main accused, namely Sagar. Upon his arrest, and on the basis of his disclosure statement, petitioner was also implicated as an accused in the present case, with the allegation that he had supplied the heroin to co-accused Sagar for an amount of Rs.13,000/-, which is yet to be recovered from him.*

(iii) *Counsel for the petitioner contends that allegations are false and*



CRM-M-18303-2026

2

baseless, and apart from the disclosure statement, no corroborative or connecting evidence has been collected by the Investigating Officer. It is further submitted that since the petitioner is involved in several other cases and is already known to the police officials, he has been falsely implicated in the present case, merely to inflate the number of pending cases against him. It is also argued that there is no requirement for custodial interrogation of the petitioner, and thus, counsel prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

(iv) Notice of motion.

(v) On advance notice, Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Addl. AG, Haryana, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.

(vi) Adjourned to 11.05.2026.

(vii) Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

(viii) Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Status report by way of an affidavit on behalf of the respondent-State has been filed in the Court today. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Malkiat submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation on 19.04.2026, and as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 06.04.2026 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.



2026:PHHC:073604

2026:PHHC:073604

**CRM-M-18303-2026****3**

6. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. However, present order would be subject to the submission of his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

8. It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

11.05.2026

reena

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:**YES/NO
~~YES~~/NO**