



CRM-M-18906-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

255/2

CRM-M-18906-2025

Date of decision : 12.01.2026

Anuj @ Anuj Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vivek Kathuria, Advocate and
Mr. Rajat Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Bansal, Public Prosecutor, UT, Chandigarh with
Mr. Navjeet Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail under Section 483 BNSS, 2023, in case FIR No.04 dated 12.02.2025, under Section 21 of NDPS Act (Section 29 of NDPS Act added later on), registered at Police Station ANTF Sector 11, UT, Chandigarh.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is alleged in the FIR that out of total recovery of 61.14 grams of heroin, 15.47 grams heroin (non-commercial quantity) was allegedly effected from the petitioner. Learned counsel, however, submits that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and was arraigned as an accused on the disclosure statement of co-accused Pardeep Kumar @ Deepu, who was arrested at the spot. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 11 months. Although the petitioner is involved in other cases but he is on bail in those cases. He, therefore, prays for release of the petitioner on regular bail as the trial is likely to take a long time.



CRM-M-18906-2025

-2-

3. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 11 months. He, upon instructions, submits that only 02 out of 26 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 11 months, he is not involved in any other case under the NDPS Act and that the trial is likely to take a long time, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial as the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

7. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

12.01.2026

A.Kaundal

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No