



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

(127)

CRM-M-17953-2026 (O&amp;M)

Date of decision : 10.04.2026

**SANDEEP @ KULDEEP****... Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF HARYANA****...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA****Present:** Mr. Mohan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner


Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana

\*\*\*\*

**MANISHA BATRA, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner is seeking quashing of order dated 08.09.2025 passed by the Learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala in case arising out of FIR No.129 dated 07.05.2019 registered under Sections 302, 307, 323, 34, 341 and 506 of IPC at Police Station Parao, Ambala Cantt. District Ambala whereby his bail was cancelled and bonds were forfeited to the State. Now proclamation proceedings have been initiated against him and proclamation is ordered to be issued against him for 24.04.2026.

2. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that the absence of the petitioner on 08.09.2025 was not intentional but was on account of noting down of some wrong date of hearing. He was also not given information of the case by his counsel. He is ready to join proceedings before the Learned Trial




Court and to abide by the terms and conditions to be imposed upon him. It is, therefore, argued that the petition deserves to be allowed.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Learned State counsel has advance notice of the petition. It is argued by him that there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order as it was on account of willful absence of the petitioner that his bail was cancelled. It is, therefore, urged that the petition does not deserve to be allowed.

5. This Court has heard the submission made by learned counsel for the parties.

6. On perusal of the orders passed by the Learned Trial Court, this Court is of the considered opinion that there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order so passed by the Learned Trial Court as the petitioner had neither appeared himself on 08.09.2025 nor any application for exempting his presence has been moved by his counsel. Now proclamation proceedings have been initiated against the petitioner. As such there is no ground to quash the order in question. However, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is ready to abide by the terms and conditions to be imposed upon him by the Trial Court and is ready to join the proceedings before the Learned Trial Court, the petition is disposed of by giving direction to the petitioner to surrender before the Trial Court within a period of 10 days from today and on doing so and furnishing fresh personal as well as surety bonds to the satisfaction of Learned Trial Court, he shall be admitted to bail by the Trial Court. He shall also deposit cost of



Rs.10,000/- before the District Legal Services Authority (DLSA), Ambala. His arrest will remain stayed till the next 10 days.

7. A copy of this order be sent to the Learned Trial Court.

**(MANISHA BATRA)**  
**JUDGE**

**10.04.2026**  
*Amit Sharma*

**Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No**  
**Whether reportable:- Yes/No**