



CRM-M-18551 of 2026

1

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-18551 of 2026****Date of decision : May 13, 2026****Kartar Singh****..... Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and another****..... Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN*********

Present :- Mr. Pankaj Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, DAG., Haryana.

*********ALOK JAIN, J (Oral)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.40 dated 24.03.2025, under Sections 333, 351(3), 61 (2), 74, 64, 62, of the B.N.S. 2023 and Sections 4, 8, 17,18 of POCSO Act registered at Police Station Women Police Jind, Haryana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was infact in live-in relationship with the mother of the victim and the present FIR has no truth and has been lodged by father of the victim who wanted to disturb the life of co-accused-mother of victim. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been implicated in the present case on the ground that the victim did not like the petitioner and did not want to live with her mother. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the victim had



refused medical examination and therefore, there is no medical evidence to support the allegations made against the petitioner.

3. Per contra, learned State counsel submits that the victim is a girl of 16 years and has levelled specific allegations against the petitioner and infact has also categoriclly named her own mother that she was compelling her to compromise, the present matter with the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that mother of the girl has already been granted bail and more so, 7 out of 15 witnesses including the material witnesses have already been examined.

4. In light of the above coupled with the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 1 year 1 month and 17 days and the trial is likely to take time, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody, hence, the petitioner is entitled to the grant of concession of regular bail.

5. Without commenting upon the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, if not required in any other case, subject to his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned. He shall, however, be released on the following conditions:

- ❖ The petitioner shall declare his ordinary place of residence and the mobile number used by him.
- ❖ He will not switch off his mobile and in case of any technical glitch, he has to give an alternate number, which will be available in his absence.



❖ He will mark his presence before the SHO concerned, after every 15 days and in case the SHO refuses to mark his presence, he is permitted to make an application before the Illaqa Magistrate, concerned.

❖ He will not leave the country without the prior permission of the Court, for which he will submit the copy of his passport also. However, in case, the petitioner does not possess a valid passport, then he shall file an undertaking to the said effect before being released.

6. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as imposed in addition to Section 483 of BNSS, 2023.

7. However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and this order shall not be considered as parity qua any other co-accused in any manner whatsoever.

8. It is made clear that, in case, the petitioner is found involved in any such activity once again, the State is at liberty to promptly move an appropriate application for cancellation of bail detailing out the circumstances and violation of the condition(s) of bail.

May 13, 2026
archana

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes
No