



2026:PHHC:072932

2026:PHHC:072932



-1-

**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RA-RS-46-2026 (O&M) IN
RSA-438-1995
Date of Decision: 11.05.2026

STATE OF HARYANA

...Appellant

Versus

CHANDER BHAN

...Respondent/Review Applicant

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL

Present: Mr. Vijay Kumar Jindal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Nischhal Manchanda, Advocate
Mr. Abhishek Shukla, Advocate
for the respondent/review applicant.

PARMOD GOYAL, J. (ORAL)

CM-5844-C-2026

For the reasons stated in the application for condonation of delay of 25 days in filing the review application, the same is allowed.

RA-RS-46-2026

Respondent/applicant by way of present review application has sought review of judgment dated 30.01.2026 passed by this Court in case titled as 'State of Haryana Vs. Chander Bhan'.

2. The sole ground for review is that this Court while partly allowing the appeal preferred by appellant-State though had allowed respondent to choose permissible area, however, did not allow re-determination of surplus area/permissible area. It is argued that on enactment of Haryana Ceiling of Land Holdings Act, 1972 the land was not utilized



**RA-RS-46-2026 IN
RSA-438-1995**

-2-

and fresh rights of LRS of original land owner has come in force, therefore, re-determination ought to have been allowed.

3. On consideration, I do not find any merit in the review application. This Court was conscious about distinction between re-determination of surplus area and choosing of permissible area. In the present case, determination of surplus area was not found to be bad. The only issue was that the permissible area was not allowed to be chosen out of the total land which was considered for the purposes of declaring surplus area. The respondent was allowed to choose permissible area though determination of surplus area has been found to be correct in accordance with law in favour of appellant-State. Therefore, no review of order dated 30.01.2026 is made out. Review application is accordingly dismissed.

4. Pending application(s), if any, is/are disposed of accordingly.

11.05.2026
chiranjeev

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No