



CRM-M-16905-2026

1

211 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16905-2026
Date of decision: 21.04.2026

AMRIK SINGH**...PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA****...RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Rajdeep Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Malvika Singh, DAG, Haryana.

H.S.GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (438 of Cr.P.C) in case FIR No.71 dated 05.03.2026 under Sections 281 of BNS, 2023 (Section 279 of IPC) and Sections 13(1) of Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan Avam Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 and Section 11(1) (D) of Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1960 registered at Police Station City Kaithal, District Kaithal.

2. This Court, vide order dated 27.03.2026, had directed the petitioner to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer and join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the SHO/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 27.03.2026, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation.

4. Learned State counsel states that the petitioner has joined the



investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation. She has filed the status report by way of an affidavit of Bir Bhan, HPS, DSP (HQ) Kaithal in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 27.03.2026 is **made absolute**. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

6. However, it is clarified that the investigating authority on addition of an offence or offences may not proceed to arrest the accused/petitioner, but for arresting the accused/petitioner on such addition of offence or offences it needs to obtain an order to arrest the accused/petitioners from the Court which had granted the bail in view of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Sumit Vs State of U.P. and Another*, Criminal Appeal No. 830 of 2026, decided on 09.02.2026.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

21.04.2026
renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No