



CRM-M-17754-2026

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

221

CRM-M-17754-2026

Date of decision: 20.04.2026

DIPUTY @ DIPUTY VARIS**....Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB****....Respondent****CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL**

Present:- Mr. Karandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amrit Kaur Mahir, AAG, Punjab.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short "BNSS"), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.250 dated 05.12.2025 registered under Sections 109 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana Rural.

2. On 02.04.2026, the following order was passed by this Court: -

"Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.250 dated 05.12.2025 registered under Sections 109 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections



25/27 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana Rural.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and he has no concern with the said incident. He argued that the alleged occurrence took place on 04.12.2025 but the FIR in question was registered on 05.12.2025 i.e. after a delay of 01 day, casting serious doubt on the prosecution story. He further argued that in fact, it is a case of version and cross-version. He argued that if the contents of the FIR are taken to be true, even then no specific role/injury has been attributed to the present petitioner. He argued that the petitioner was only standing there and mere presence at the spot cannot amount to participation in the crime. He further argued that Section 109 of BNS was added by the prosecution only to make the offence graver. Moreover, the petitioner has clean antecedents as he is not involved in any other case and no recovery is to be effected from him. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Amit Shukla, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and seeks time to file status report in the matter.

Adjourned to 20.04.2026.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”

**CRM-M-17754-2026**

3

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 02.04.2026 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions of SI Kuldeep Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 02.04.2026 passed by this Court, is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

20.04.2026*Gurpreet***(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE**

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No