



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-15888-2026 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 24.03.2026**

**VIKASH NAGAR @ VICKY @ VIKAS NAGAR
.....PETITIONER**

VERSUS

**STATE OF HARYANA
.....RESPONDENT**

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present: Mr. Baljeeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MANDEEP PANNU, J (ORAL)

1. The present is the first petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 111 dated 05.09.2025 registered under Sections 61(2), 232(1) and 351(2) read with Section 3(5) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Tigaon, District Faridabad, Haryana.

2. It is contended on behalf of the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in the present case and has no role in the alleged occurrence. It is submitted that the main dispute is between the complainant and other co-accused persons and the petitioner has been unnecessarily dragged into the present case. It is further contended that no specific role is attributed to the petitioner and nothing is to be recovered from him. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency. It is also argued that the case of the petitioner is on



parity with co-accused Paras @ Paras Nagar, who has already been granted the concession of bail.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sushil Bhardwaj, Addl. A.G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and contested the present bail petition, mainly on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioner. It is submitted that the petitioner was actively involved in pressurizing the complainant to furnish an affidavit in favour of co-accused Kul Bhushan @ Kallu and had extended threats to the complainant. It is further submitted that the case of the petitioner is not at parity with co-accused Paras @ Paras Nagar. It has also been pointed out that as many as 10 FIRs have already been registered against the present petitioner, which reflects his criminal antecedents.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, this Court finds that specific and active allegations have been levelled against the petitioner. The material on record reflects that the petitioner was directly involved in threatening and intimidating the complainant and pressurizing him to furnish an affidavit in favour of co-accused Kul Bhushan @ Kallu. It is further evident that the petitioner himself was in contact with the complainant through telephonic calls and extended threats of dire consequences, thereby playing a direct and dominant role in the commission of the alleged offences.

6. The case of the petitioner is clearly distinguishable from that of co-accused Paras @ Paras Nagar. While Paras @ Paras Nagar is stated to have merely facilitated the conversation between the complainant and the petitioner, the present petitioner is the main person who allegedly issued



threats and exerted pressure upon the complainant. Thus, the role attributed to the petitioner is graver and more direct in nature and cannot be equated on parity with co-accused Paras @ Paras Nagar.

7. Furthermore, the petitioner is involved in multiple cases and about 10 FIRs have been registered against him, which reflects his criminal antecedents.

8. In view of the seriousness of allegations, distinct role of the petitioner from co-accused Paras @ Paras Nagar and his criminal background, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

10. However, it is made clear that nothing stated hereinabove shall be construed as an expression on the merits of the case.

11. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

24.03.2026

Poonam Negi

**(MANDEEP PANNU)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No