



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

157

CWP-8826-2026

Date of Decision: 23.03.2026

RAM MEHAR AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate for petitioners

Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondent not to construct the Primary Health Centre (for short, "PHC") at the proposed site. They are further seeking direction to respondent to renovate and refurbish existing PHC.

2. The petitioners are resident of village Baroda Mor, Tehsil Gohana, District Sonapat, Haryana. They submit that the respondents are constructing a new PHC at a location which is prone to flooding and is in contravention of Indian Public Health Standards, 2022 (for short, "IPHS"). The existing PHC is operating for the past 12 years. At the cost of health and safety of the residents of the village, the respondent is setting up PHC at new site. The proposed site is 1.5 kms away from the existing PHC and a joint inspection committee vide letter dated 21.11.2019 has recommended construction of PHC in the existing



building instead of on the proposed land i.e. new site. The newly elected Gram Sabha in connivance with officials has passed a resolution to construct PHC on the new site overlooking the reports. Executive Engineer, Provincial Division No. 1, PWD (B&R), Sonipat invited tender for the construction of PHC on the new site. Many villagers approached this Court by way of civil writ petitions against the tender which were dismissed.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from Ms. Anjali (IAS), SDM, Gohana at the outset submits that State Government has constituted a Committee to look into the matter and in the meantime, work on new site has been stopped.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the aforesaid statement.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.

6. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

23.03.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No