



111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-8655-2026 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 23.04.2026**

VINOD

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

**STATE OF HARYANA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPLE SECRETARY,
IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT,**

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of an appropriate Writ, Order or direction, especially in the nature of Certiorari, quashing the impugned relieving order dated 30.07.2025, (Annexure P-4), issued by the respondent no. 4, relieving the petitioner as Group 'D' in most illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory and un-constitution manner in violation of the policy instructions dated 07.08.2024 and 15.01.2025, (Annexure P-13 and P-14), respectively, issued by the respondent no. 1. He further prays for issuance of directions to the respondent-department to reinstate the petitioner in service in compliane with the order dated 24.12.2025



passed by this Court in CWP-7437-2025 titled as ***'Renu and others vs. State of Haryana and others'***

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that present petition be disposed of in terms of judgment passed by this Court in CWP-7437-2025 titled as ***'Renu and others vs. State of Haryana and others'***, decided on 24.12.2025 (Annexure P-14) wherein similar issue has been adjudicated.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. AG Haryana, having been served with an advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and undertakes that the impugned relieving order by which the service of the petitioner was dispensed with shall be withdrawn and he will be allowed to continue till a fresh decision is taken. It has further been stated that the State shall undertake a fresh consideration of the matter by examining the case of the petitioner independently and shall pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

5. In the light of the assurance tendered by the learned State counsel, and the concurrence of learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is persuaded that the present writ petition no longer requires adjudication on merits. The impugned relieving order is hereby set aside, and till a fresh decision is taken, the services of the petitioner shall not be dispensed with, and wherever the petitioner has not been permitted to rejoin duty, he shall be taken back forthwith so that his humble means of livelihood are not imperiled and the sustenance of their families are preserved.

6. In case the petitioner has not submitted any representation so far to the State Government or the concerned Head of the Department, the present writ petition shall be treated as representation, and the case shall be decided afresh after taking into account the pleas raised therein, in accordance with law.



7. The respondents shall, with due regard to the dignity of the petitioner and the governing statutory framework, reconsider the case, and pass reasoned orders strictly in accordance with law, in both its letter and spirit, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8. Until such fresh orders are passed, the continuity of service of the petitioner shall remain undisturbed.

9. In view of above, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

10. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

23.04.2026

anuradha

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No