



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

120

CRWP-3196-2026 (O&M)

DECIDED ON: 23.03.2026

SIMRANJEET KAUR & ANR.**.....PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.****.....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Rahi Mehra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Jasdev Singh Thind, DAG Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed by the petitioners for directions to the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from the respondents No.4 and 5

2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the petitioners have filed the representation dated 13.03.2026 (Annexure P-4) before the respondent No.2. The learned counsel prays for directions to the respondent No.2 to decide the abovementioned representation within a stipulated time.

3. **Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.**



4. Mr. Jasdev Singh Thind, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3/State. Hence service of notice upon the State is hereby dispensed with.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-4) by passing a speaking order within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of instant order. It is further directed that the petitioners shall be provided requisite safety and security to protect their life and liberty, if required. It is however clarified that any observation is not being made by this Court with regard to threat perception to the petitioners or the validity of their relationship. The police authority of concerned District shall be at liberty to make an independent assessment of the threat perception to the petitioners, and take a decision on the issue of providing adequate security to them.

23.03.2026

Gaurav Thakur

**(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No