



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026 PHHC 060644-DB



118

CRWP-3249-2026

Date of Decision: 22.04.2026

CHINDERPAL KAUR

....Appellant

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. Kashav Chadha, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Akshay Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The wife of the convict has come up before this Court seeking grant of parole to the convict for a period of eight weeks.

2 The State has filed its reply dated 21.04.2026 in Court today, which is taken on record. Paragraph No.4 of the reply reads as follows:-

'It is further submitted that after verification, the release of the petitioner on parole was not allowed by the District Magistrate Moga vide letter No.501/Reader (Peshi Branch) dated 30.03.2026 on the basis of report received from the Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga, whereby it was stated that the petitioner may come on parole may disrupt the law and order of the State and may start selling Intoxicated substances again. The petitioner also absconded from parole earlier. When he came out on parole earlier dated 25.03.2022 and did not surrender back to the jail on fixed date. He was admitted 128 days late in the jail in some other case. Therefore, the local police do not recommend that petitioner Paramjit Singh Pamma, come on parole.'

3 Given above, it is evident that the petitioner had earlier failed to surrender upon expiry of his parole period.

4 Accordingly, no case for grant of parole is made out. The present petition is, therefore, dismissed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

22.04.2026
jyoti3

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable?	No