



123

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8529-2026 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 20.03.2026

SURESH KUMAR

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance a writ in the nature of Mandamus for issuance of directions to respondents to consider the case of petitioners for appointment/Re-adjustment through HKRN (Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam) and to provide security of service in view of "The Haryana Contracual Employees (Security Of Service) Act, 2024 (Annexure P-3).

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.4 to consider the representation dated 13.01.2025 (Annexure P-4) within a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryana having been served with an advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and assures



the Court that the representation dated 13.01.2025 (Annexure P-4) shall be decided by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

5. In view of the above, and without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.4-Sub Divisional Engineer is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 13.01.2025 (Annexure P-4) after providing opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. A copy of order so passed be supplied to the petitioner within seven days thereafter.

7. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

20.03.2026
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No