



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

133

CWP-8558-2026

Date of Decision: 20.03.2026

RAHUL

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep, Advocate for petitioner

Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to decide representation dated 03.03.2025 and 18.11.2025 in a time bound manner.

2. The petitioners solemnized inter-caste marriage as per Hindu Rites at Arya Samaj Mandir, Mata Narayani Devi Bhawan, Riwari. He filed representation to respondents seeking incentive of Rs.2.5 lakhs under Mukhya Mantri Samrasta Antarjatiya Vivah Shagun Yojna. He did not get response from respondent authorities and preferred CWP-14386-2025 before this Court which was disposed of vide order dated 19.05.2025 with a direction to respondent to decide his representation within 30 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. The petitioner preferred COPC-4904-2025 as respondent did not comply order of this Court passed in CWP-14386-2025.



During the pendency of aforesaid COCP, the respondent passed order dated 04.09.2025 and accordingly COCP was disposed of.

3. From the perusal of record, it is evident that respondents have already passed order dated 04.09.2025 as per directions of this Court. The petitioner is not assailing said order.

4. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to file afresh with better particulars.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.03.2026
SDK/PRINCE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No