



CRM-M-15062-2026

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

217

CRM-M-15062-2026

Date of decision: 20.04.2026

SUKHJEET SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus**STATE OF PUNJAB**

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amrit Kaur Mahir, AAG, Punjab.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short "BNSS"), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.361 dated 07.11.2025, registered under Sections 125, 126(2), 324(4), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act (Section 109 of the BNS, 2023 added lateron), at Police Station City Hoshiarpur.

2. On 02.04.2026, the following order was passed by this Court: -

"Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.361 dated 07.11.2025, registered under Sections 125, 126(2), 324(4), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25



and 27 of the Arms Act (Section 109 of the BNS, 2023 added lateron), at Police Station City Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further contends that the petitioner has no concern with the said offence and the allegations levelled against him are vague. Learned counsel contends that the said incident occurred on 06.11.2025 but the FIR in question was registered on 07.11.2025 i.e. after an unexplained delay of 01 day, casting a serious doubt on the prosecution story. It has also been contended that the petitioner has been nominated as an accused only on the basis of disclosure statements made by co-accused Ashwani Thakur and Mohit Patial and the said accused as well as accused Gurmukh Singh have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail and regular bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court and by the learned trial Court vide orders dated 09.03.2026, Apart from the disclosure 19.01.2026 and 10.02.2026 respectively. statement, there is no other evidence to connect the petitioner with the offence in question and it is a trite law that disclosure statement of co-accused during his custodial interrogation is not admissible. No recovery is to be effected from the petitioner. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency. Therefore, it is urged that the petition deserves to be allowed.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed the status report which is taken on record. He has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by submitting that the offence committed by the petitioner is serious in nature.

Adjourned to 20-4-2026.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with



the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 02.04.2026 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions of SI Navjot Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 02.04.2026 passed by this Court, is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

20.04.2026

Gurpreet

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No