



229

2026:PHHC:046377



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-15008-2026 (O&M)  
DECIDED ON: 24.03.2026**

**ARJUN SINGHANIA**

**.....PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF HARYANA**

**.....RESPONDENT**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU**

Present: Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jasmine Gill, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MANDEEP PANNU, J (ORAL)**

1. This is the first petition under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.328 dated 15.12.2025, registered under Section 21(b) of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station DLF, Phase-III, Gurugram, District Gurugram.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further contends that the alleged recovery i.e. 23.50 grams of heroin falls under the category of intermediate quantity. It has been further contended that the petitioner is a man of clean antecedents as he is not involved in any other case. It has been further argued that the challan has already been presented in Court and out



of total 12 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined till date, meaning thereby, conclusion of the trial will take long time.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has produced the custody certificate of the petitioner today in Court, which is taken on record. He has opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail and submits that the alleged contraband was recovered from the possession of the present petitioner.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, this Court finds that admittedly, the alleged recovery i.e. 23.50 grams of heroin falls under the category of intermediate quantity, the petitioner is not a habitual offender as he is not involved in any other case, the petitioner has been in custody for the last 03 months & 09 days and the trial is likely to take time to conclude for which the petitioner cannot be detained behind the bars for an indefinite period.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioner has made out a case for grant of regular bail.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. However, it is made clear that nothing stated hereinabove shall be construed as an expression on the merits of the case.



8. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

**24.03.2026**

*Poonam Negi*

**(MANDEEP PANNU)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned*

*Yes/No*

*Whether reportable*

*Yes/No*