



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-14847-2026 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 20.04.2026**

PRABHA SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present: Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Wadhwa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manmeet Singh Rana, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANDEEP PANNU, J (ORAL)

1. The present first petition under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) has been filed by the petitioner for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 40 dated 25.02.2026, registered under Sections 326(g) and 324(4) of BNS, 2023 (corresponding to Section 436 and 427 IPC), at Police Station Daba, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further contended that the present FIR is a counterblast to FIR No. 138 dated 19.11.2025 (Annexure P-2), which was got registered by the petitioner against the husband of the complainant. It is also submitted that a compromise has been arrived at between the parties, as reflected in the compromise deed dated



03.04.2026, a copy of which has been produced today in Court and is taken on record.

3. Learned State counsel has produced the custody certificate of the petitioner alongwith status report dated 20.04.2026 today in Court, which is taken on record. He seeks dismissal of the instant petition on the ground that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties and he has no objection if the concession of bail is granted to the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record.

6. Admittedly, the dispute between the parties has been amicably settled, as evidenced by the compromise deed dated 03.04.2026, which has been placed on record. Learned counsel for the complainant has also fairly stated that he has no objection in case the petitioner is granted the concession of bail.

7. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties and the no objection submitted by learned counsel for the complainant, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner deserves the concession of regular bail.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to her furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. It is, however, clarified that nothing observed herein shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.



10. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

20.04.2026

Poonam Negi

**(MANDEEP PANNU)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No