



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

RSA-4289-2000 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.04.2026

MADAN LAL AND ORS

...Appellants

Versus

THURO AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: None for the appellants.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Sarbjit S. Khaira, Advocate for the respondents.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. As noticed on the previous date of hearing, out of six appellants, three have died, i.e. appellants No. 1, 5 and 6 are reported to have expired. No application till date has been moved to bring on record their LRs. Appellant No. 2 was duly served, but there is no representation on behalf of the said appellant till date. Court notices sent to appellants No. 3 and 4 have been received back with the report that they are not residing in the village. No other addresses of appellants No. 3 and 4 are available.

2. Considering the fact that one of the appellants, whose interests are common with those of the other appellants, was duly served and has not come forward; that there is no application for impleading the LRs of the deceased appellants; and that no other addresses of appellants No.3 and 4 are available, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution, with liberty to the appellants to get the same revived in case any cause of action still survives.

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

April 10, 2026*Nisha-1*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No