



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(215)

RSA-3812-2000 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-24.03.2026

DAULTI

... Appellant

Versus

RAM SARUP AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents No.14(i) (ii) and 35(i)(ii).
(Through VC)

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. None appeared on behalf of the appellant. Even, on the previous date of hearing, none appeared for the appellant. It seems that appellants are not interested in pursuing the appeal.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

24th March, 2026

Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No