



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

302

CRM-M-14761-2026

AMU GUJJAR ALIAS AMUDEEN

....PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

Date of decision: 23.04.2026

Date of Uploading: 23.04.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Pranav Handa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Gurcharan S. Rai, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Virk, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

SUMEET GOEL, J. ORAL

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.08 dated 26.01.2025 under Sections 333, 305, 115(2), 118(1) of BNS, registered at Police Station Bullowal, District Hoshiarpur and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 19.02.2026 (Annexure P-2), which is stated to have been effected between the parties.

2 On 18.03.2026, the following order was passed:

“The petitioner has approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that all concerned are parties to the present petition in terms of the dicta of the Division Bench judgment of this Court passed in CRM-M-48043-2023, titled Rakesh Das



*v. State of Haryana and another, decided on 12.11.2024.
Notice of motion.*

On the strength of advance service of copy of petition; Mr. Adhiraj Singh Thind, AAG Punjab causes appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 – State of Punjab. At this juncture, Mr. G.S. Virk, Advocate causes appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 & 3. He ratifies the factum of compromise having been effected between the parties.

The parties are directed to get their statements recorded qua the factum of compromise in the following manner:

(i) The petitioners shall appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate concerned on 23.03.2026 or any date thereafter as fixed by trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording statements of the petitioners as well as of the complainant qua the factum of compromise. As and when any such appearance is made, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate shall do the needful for recording the statements of the parties qua the factum of the compromise. It shall be open to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to either record the statements of the parties by physical process or by video conferencing as deemed appropriate by the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate.

(ii) In case the statement is to be recorded by way of video conferencing, the parties concerned shall be duly identified through video conferencing by their respective counsel, subject to the satisfaction of the Presiding Officer.

(iii) The trial Court/Illaq Magistrate may also choose to get the statements of the parties recorded through some Commissioner, appointed by the Court who would be some Advocate having sufficient standing at the Bar. In case the statement is recorded through some Commissioner, such Commissioner/Advocate shall furnish an affidavit after recording statements to the effect that the parties had appeared before him/her and he/she had recorded their statements as per law and that the said parties had been duly identified by their respective counsel. This shall be subject to satisfaction of trial Court/Illaq Magistrate.

After recording the statements of all the affected parties in either of the aforesaid manner, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate shall submit its report on the basis of the statements so recorded as to whether all the affected parties have entered into a compromise and as to whether the compromise in question is found to be a valid compromise and has been effected without there being any kind of influence or coercion. The trial Court/Illaq Magistrate shall also report as regards the following facts after seeking information from Investigating Officer, concerned:

(i) Whether there is any other accused other than the petitioners, arrayed in this petition?



(ii) Whether there is any other complainant or affected/aggrieved party other than the respondents, arrayed in the petition?

(iii) Whether any accused has been declared Proclaimed Offender?

The report be submitted before this Court before the next date of hearing i.e. 23.04.2026.

The petitioners are directed to deposit a sum of ₹10,000/- as costs with the Punjab & Haryana High Court Employees Welfare Association, Bank details whereof reads thus:

Account No.37167209613;

IFSC Code: SBIN0050306;

Branch Code: 50306 &

Bank: State Bank of India, High Court Branch, Chandigarh

Payment of costs and production of receipt thereof shall be a condition precedent for recording of statements in the manner directed for hereinabove.

It is explicit that depositing of costs shall not create any kind of equities in favour of the petitioners.”

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, report dated 09.04.2026 from Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Hoshiarpur, has been received, which is taken on record. As per the report, the Trial Court has recorded as follows:-

“It is respectfully submitted that an order dated 18.03.2026 passed by Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court was received in case titled "Amandeep Singh & Anr. Vs. Amu Gujjar" bearing FIR No.08 dated 26.01.2025, under Sections 333, 305, 115(2), 118(1) BNS, Police Station Bullowal, Hoshiarpur forwarded through the office of Ld. District & Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur vide wherein the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 23.03.2026 for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise so effected between them. The complainants Amandeep Singh and Sewa Singh appeared in the Court on 23.03.2026 and got recorded their joint statement to the effect that FIR No.08 dated 26.01.2025, under Sections 333, 305, 115(2), 118(1) BNS, Police Station Bullowal, Hoshiarpur was registered against accused Amu Gujjar @ Amudeen on their statement. They further stated that now the matter has been compromised with the accused person. The compromise has been arrived with their own free will and the same is genuine, voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence or without any fraud or misrepresentation. They have no objection, if the above said FIR is quashed against the above said accused person. The copy of their Adhar Card are also annexed.



Further, accused Amu Gujjar @ Amudeen also appeared in the Court and got recorded his statement that FIR No.08 dated 26.01.2025, under Sections 333, 305, 115(2), 118(1) BNS, Police Station Bullowal, Hoshiarpur was registered against him. He categorically deposed that now the matter has been compromised with complainants Amandeep Singh and Sewa Singh and everything has been settled between them. The compromise has been arrived with their own free will and the same is genuine, voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence. The above said FIR may kindly be quashed against him. The copy of his Adhar Card is also annexed.

Further, the statement of Investigating Officer, ASI Karnail Singh, No.403/HPR, PS Bullowal, District Hoshiarpur has also been recorded, wherein he stated that he is the Investigating Officer of the present case of FIR No.08 dated 26.01.2025, under Sections 333, 305, 115(2), 118(1) BNS, Police Station Bullowal, Hoshiarpur which was registered on the statement of complainants Amandeep Singh son of Baldev Singh and Sewa Singh son of Ralla Ram and the FIR was registered against accused Ammu Gujjar @ Amudeen son of Mamdeen. He further stated that except accused Amu Gujjar @ Amudeen, no other accused is arrayed as an accused and there is no other complainant or any aggrieved party other than Amandeep Singh and Sewa Singh. He further stated that accused Amu Gujjar has not been declared proclaimed offender.

Respected Sir, in compliance with the order passed in CRM-M-14761-2026, complainants Amandeep Singh and Sewa Singh as well as accused Amu Gujjar @ Amudeen appeared in the Court and all of them got recorded their individual statements with regard to compromise effected between them. Further, ASI Karnail Singh (Investigating Officer) also appeared on behalf of State and got recorded his separate statement. On the basis of the statements suffered by the parties in the Court, it appears that the compromise between complainants Amandeep Singh and Sewa Singh as well as accused Amu Gujjar @ Amudeen is genuine, voluntary without any threat or coercion. The above said FIR was registered against accused person namely Amu Gujjar @ Amudeen under Section u/S 333, 305, 115(2), 118(1) BNS, Police Station Bullowal, Hoshiarpur. Neither the above said accused has been declared as proclaimed offender nor any proclamation proceedings are pending against him.”

4. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 admits the factum of parties having compromised and states that he has no objection in case the FIR and all proceedings subsequent thereto against the petitioner is quashed.



5. Similarly, learned State counsel has stated no objection in case the FIR is quashed based upon the compromise (Annexure P-2).

6. I have heard learned Counsel for the parties and have carefully gone through the records of the case.

7. This Court and the Hon'ble Apex Court has repeatedly dealt with the issue of exercise of jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Code to quash proceeding in non-compoundable offences in the cases of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012(10) SCC 303, Kulwinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab & another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Ram Gopal and another vs. State of Madhya Pradesh, 2021(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 322 (Criminal Appeal No.1489 of 2012 decided on 29th of September, 2021)***. The proposition of law that emerges from the aforesaid decisions rendered by the Hon'ble Apex Court and this Court is :

- (a) *Power u/s 482 Cr.P.C. vested with this Court is much wider and is unaffected by Section 320 of the Code.*
- (b) *However, wider the power greater the caution.*
- (c) *The underlining principle while exercising such power is that it can be invoked to quash the proceedings recognizing compromise between the parties in the matters which are overwhelmingly and predominantly of civil character like commercial transactions or arising out of matrimonial relationship or family disputes.*
- (d) *The said power is not to be exercised in the prosecutions involving heinous and serious offences of mental depravity or offences like murder, rape, dacoity etc. as such offences are not private in nature and have a serious impact on society.*
- (e) *Section 482 Cr.P.C. casts duty upon the High Court to advance interest of justice as well. It is in recognition of this duty casted upon the High Court, that Apex Court held that the High Court would not refuse to quash FIR under Section 307 merely because FIR finds mention thereof. High Court can assess nature of*



injuries sustained, whether such injuries inflicted on vital/delicate parts of the body/nature of weapons used etc.

- (f) Such exercise at the hands of High Court would be permissible only after the evidence is collected after investigation and chargesheet is filed/charges framed during the trial. Such exercise cannot be carried out while the matter is still under investigation.*
- (g) While quashing FIR in non-compoundable offences even which are of private in nature, High Court is required to consider antecedents of the accused, conduct of the accused and whether he was absconding or whether he has managed the complainant to enter into a compromise.*

The statutory provision of Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 is same as the statutory provision of Section 482 of Cr.P.C., 1973. Therefore, the above said principles of law would apply to a petition under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 as well.

8. Thus, keeping in view the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case to exercise jurisdiction vested u/s 528 of BNSS, 2023 to quash the FIR as :-

- (i) Putting a quietus to the proceedings will bring peace and tranquility amongst parties & will accordingly further the cause of substantial justice.*
- (ii) The offences alleged are primarily of private nature.*
- (iii) The parties have compromised.*
- (iv) As per the report received the compromise is said to be voluntary in its nature.*
- (v) Complainant/victim is reported to have entered into compromise on his own volition*

9. Consequently, the petition is allowed. FIR No.08 dated 26.01.2025 under Sections 333, 305, 115(2), 118(1) of BNS, registered at Police Station Bullowal, District Hoshiarpur and all consequential



proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 19.02.2026

(Annexure P-2), are, hereby, quashed.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

23.04.2026

jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No