



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-14626-2026 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 20.03.2026**

ROHIT SINGH @ SHISHI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present: Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate and
Mr. Rohit, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanav Singla, AAG, Punjab.

MANDEEP PANNU, J (ORAL)

1. This is the second petition under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.161 dated 02.10.2025, registered under Sections 21, 27-A of NDPS Act (Section 29 of NDPS Act added lateron) at Police Station Chattiwind, District Amritsar Rural.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It has been further contended that nothing has been recovered from the present petitioner. He further submits that the quantity of recovered contraband i.e. 6 grams of heroin falls within the ambit of non-commercial quantity. It has been argued that there is non-compliance of Section 42 and 50 of the NDPS Act. It has been contended that the petitioner is a man of clean antecedents as he is not involved in any other case.



3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has produced the custody certificate of the petitioner today in Court, which is taken on record. He has opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail on the ground that the alleged contraband has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner as well as his co-accused.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, this Court finds that admittedly the quantity of recovered contraband is non-commercial in nature added with the fact that the petitioner is a man of clean antecedents as he is not involved in any other case. The petitioner has been in custody for the last 05 months & 16 days and the trial is likely to take time to conclude.

5. Considering the abovesaid facts and circumstances and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioner has made out a case for grant of regular bail.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. However, it is made clear that nothing stated hereinabove shall be construed as an expression on the merits of the case.

8. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

20.03.2026

Poonam Negi

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(MANDEEP PANNU)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No