



CRM-M-13778-2026

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

CRM-M-13778-2026

Date of decision: 23.04.2026

GULSHAN SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus**STATE OF PUNJAB**

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrit Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short "BNSS"), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.185 dated 30.08.2025 registered under Sections 331(6), 115(2), 190, 191(3), 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Sections 117(2) BNS added later on) at Police Station Nehianwala, District Bathinda.

2. On 08.04.2026, the following order was passed by this Court: -

"Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023/438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.185 dated 30.08.2025 registered under Sections 331(6), 115(2), 190, 191(3), 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Sections 117(2) BNS added later on) at Police Station Nehianwala, District Bathinda.



Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. She argued that even if the contents of the FIR are taken to be true, only role attributed to the petitioner is that he gave reverse blow of gandassa on the complainant's right leg which is a non-vital part of the body. Moreover, the injury attributed to the petitioner is simple in nature. She submits that the alleged occurrence took place on 19.08.2025, whereas the FIR in question was registered on 30.08.2025 i.e. after an unexplained delay of 11 days thereby casting serious doubt on the prosecution story. She further submits that co-accused persons have already been granted concession of bail by learned Trial Court. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has already filed the status report in the matter and while referring to the status report, has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail, by submitting that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature.

Adjourned to 23.04.2026.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 08.04.2026 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

**CRM-M-13778-2026**

3

4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions of ASI Des Raj, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 08.04.2026 passed by this Court, is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

23.04.2026*Gurpreet***(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE**

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No