



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

232 (1)

**FAO-862-2001 (O&M)
Date of decision:08.07.2026**

ARCHANA SHARMA AND ANOTHER

...APPELLANTS

VERSUS

SHARDA DEVI AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

232 (2)

**FAO-863-2001 (O&M)
Date of decision:08.07.2026**

ARCHANA SHARMA AND ANOTHER

...APPELLANTS

VERSUS

MOHINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL

Present: Mr. Kartik Khicher, Advocate for appellants.

Mr. Suvir Diwan, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Insurance Company.

PARMOD GOYAL, J. (ORAL)

Present appeals have arisen from common award dated 02.06.2000 passed by Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Hisar (hereinafter referred to as '**Tribunal**'). Appellants-claimants being wife and minor son of Rishi Kant (hereinafter referred to as '**deceased**') had sought compensation on account of untimely death of deceased in accident dated 03.05.1996 caused by respondent No.1-Mohinder Singh (FAO No.863 of 2001) by his rash and negligent driving while driving truck No.PNS-4503 (hereinafter referred to as '**offending vehicle**').

2. Mother and father of deceased had preferred separate claim

**FAO-862-2001 (O&M)****FAO-863-2001 (O&M)**

petition No.59 of 1999. Both the claim petitions were clubbed and were decided by common award dated 02.06.2000, whereby appellants-claimants in both the claim petitions were awarded total compensation of Rs.8,15,000/-.

3. Aggrieved by insufficiency of compensation, appellants-claimants in both the claim petitions i.e. widow and minor of deceased and mother and father of deceased had approached this Court by way of three appeals i.e. FAO No.463 of 2001, FAO No.862 of 2001 and FAO No.863 of 2001. FAO No.463 of 2001 was allowed by this Court vide judgment dated 01.05.2018. The compensation awarded by the learned Tribunal was enhanced from Rs.8,15,000/- to Rs.16,59,144/-, wherein 50% compensation was paid to widow and minor child of deceased and 50% was paid to parents. Therefore, the rights of appellants-claimants in all the appeals stand settled vide judgment dated 01.05.2018 passed by this Court and no further adjudication of compensation is required in FAO No.862 of 2001 and FAO No.863 of 2001. Accordingly, FAO No.862 of 2001 and FAO No.863 of 2001 are disposed of in terms of judgment dated 01.05.2018.

4. Present appeals are disposed of in above terms.

CM NO.2899-CII OF 2026 IN FAO NO.863 OF 2001

5. Appellants-claimants have preferred this application seeking amendment of memorandum of parties claiming that name of appellant-claimant No.2 was wrongly mentioned as Vebhav Sharma instead of Vedant.

6. Present application is disposed of with liberty to applicant to approach the learned Tribunal/Executing Court and seek correction of memorandum of parties and release of compensation in favour of appellant



2026:PHHC:092328

-3-

FAO-862-2001 (O&M)
FAO-863-2001 (O&M)

Vedant @ Vebhav Sharma.

7. Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

08.07.2026
Sunil Chander

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>