



CRM-M-13430-2026

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

CRM-M-13430-2026

Date of decision: 23.04.2026

PARAMJIT SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus**STATE OF PUNJAB**

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrit Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short "BNSS"), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.25 dated 03.02.2026 registered under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 351(3), 117(1) and 118(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Chamkaur Sahib, District Rupnagar.

2. On 08.04.2026, the following order was passed by this Court: -

"Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.25 dated 03.02.2026 registered under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 351(3), 117(1) and 118(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Chamkaur Sahib, District Rupnagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and he has no concern with the said incident. He argued that the alleged



occurrence took place on 01.02.2026 but the FIR in question was registered on 03.02.2026 i.e. after an unexplained delay of 02 days, casting serious doubt on the prosecution story. He further argued that if the contents of the FIR are taken to be true, even then the only allegation against the petitioner is that he only gave kara blow to the complainant, which hit on his left eyebrow. He further argued that as per MLR of the complainant, the injury attributed to the petitioner is stated to be simple in nature. He further argued that Section 118(1) of BNS was added by the prosecution only to make the offence graver. He further submitted that the petitioner and the complainant have amicably resolved their dispute and entered into a compromise. Further, co-accused Jaswant Singh (father of the petitioner) has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 06.03.2026. Moreover, the petitioner has clean antecedents as he is not involved in any other case and no recovery is to be effected from him. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ravinder Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and he has already filed the status report in the matter and while referring to the same, has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail, by submitting that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature and he is specifically named in the FIR.

At this stage, Mr. Ankit Chauhan, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his Memo of Appearance, which is taken on record. He affirms the factum of compromise and submits that he has no objection if the present petition is allowed.

Adjourned to 23.04.2026.



In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 08.04.2026 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions of SI Sohan Lal, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 08.04.2026 passed by this Court, is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

23.04.2026
Gurpreet

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No