



CRM-M-15650-2026

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

223

CRM-M-15650-2026

Date of decision: 21.04.2026

GOLO KAUR**....Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB****....Respondent****CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL**

Present:- Mr. Chahit Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amrit Kaur Mahir, AAG, Punjab.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short "BNSS"), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.355 dated 16.12.2025 registered under Sections 109, 115(2), 126(2), 191(3), 190 and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur.

2. On 06.04.2026, the following order was passed by this Court: -

"Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.355 dated 16.12.2025 registered under Sections 109, 115(2), 126(2), 191(3), 190 and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and she



has no concern with the said incident. He argued that the alleged occurrence took place on 14.12.2025 but the FIR in question was registered on 16.12.2025 i.e. after a delay of 02 days, casting serious doubt on the prosecution story. He argued that if the contents of the FIR are taken to be true, even then no specific injury has been attributed to the present petitioner. He further argued that it was co-accused Satpal Singh, who caused serious injuries to the complainant and his family members. He further argued that Section 109 of BNS was added by the prosecution only to make the offence graver. Further, co-accused Charanjit Kaur has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 26.02.2026. Moreover, the petitioner has clean antecedents as she is not involved in any other case and no recovery is to be effected from her. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed the status report in the matter, which is taken on record and while referring to the same, he has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail, by submitting that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature.

Adjourned to 21.04.2026.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, she shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”

**CRM-M-15650-2026**

3

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 06.04.2026 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions of ASI Zebra Nand, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 06.04.2026 passed by this Court, is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

21.04.2026*Gurpreet***(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE**

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No