



238 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No. 4887 of 2002 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 24.03.2026**

ASHOK KUMAR

.....APPELLANT

Vs.

ROSHAN LAL AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a fully burnt case on account of an incident that occurred in the year 2011.
2. Today is the third date in succession when there is no representation on behalf of the parties despite the duly intimation to Mr. Keshav Partap Singh, counsel for the appellant and Mr. Vinod Gupta, counsel for respondent No. 3-insurance company.
3. It seems that the parties are not interested in pursuing the appeal.
4. Consequently, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to move an appropriate application for revival of this appeal, if he so desires, in accordance with law.
5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

MARCH 24, 2026

nitin

Whether Speaking

Whether Reportable

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)

JUDGE

Yes

No