



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(204)

RSA-1068-1997 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-20.03.2026

MOHNI ... Appellant
Versus
BIMLOO @ BIMLA AND OTHERS ... Respondents
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Counsel for the appellant submitted that appellant is not coming for assisting the Court to serve respondent No.1. Without service of respondent No.1, appeal cannot be disposed of. Appeal is pending since 1997. So, in view of the submission made by counsel for the appellant and non-cooperation by the appellant, appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

2. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

3. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

20th March, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No