



CRM-M-13009-2026 (O&M) 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

251

**CRM-M-13009-2026 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 15.05.2026**

**RAJ KUMAR AND ORS**

**....Petitioners**

**Versus**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL**

Present: Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Armaan Dahiya, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Berwal, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 7.

\*\*\*\*\*

**RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. This is a petition filed under Section 528 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, for quashing of FIR No.408, dated 30.09.2017, under Sections 147, 148, 323 and 506 of IPC (Sections 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 351(2)(3) of BNS) and Section 25 of Arms Act (Sections 325 (117(2) BNS) and 326 (118(2) BNS) of IPC added later on and Section 25 of Arms Act deleted later on), registered at PS Bilaspur, District Gurugram, (Annexure P-1) and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 25.02.2026 (Annexure P-2).

2. This Court vide order dated 30.04.2026 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa/Duty Magistrate to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.



CRM-M-13009-2026 (O&M) 2

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Pataudi, Gurugram, and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, Judicial Magistrate First Class, Pataudi, Gurugram has submitted report dated 11.05.2026 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.2 to 7 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

6. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.408, dated 30.09.2017, under Sections 147, 148, 323 and 506 of IPC (Sections 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 351(2)(3) of BNS) and Section 25 of Arms Act (Sections 325 (117(2) BNS) and 326 (118(2) BNS) of IPC added later on and Section 25 of Arms Act deleted later on), registered at PS Bilaspur, District Gurugram, (Annexure P-1) and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 25.02.2026 (Annexure P-2) are quashed.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand(s) disposed of, accordingly.

15.05.2026  
Gurpreet

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)  
JUDGE

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No