



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

126

CR-2372-2026

Date of Decision:-24.03.2026

Sachin Bali and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Joginder Pal

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, for setting aside the impugned order dated 25.02.2026 (Annexure P-6) passed by the learned trial Court, SBS Nagar, in Civil Suit No. CS-503-2025, whereby the application filed by the petitioner for grant of ad interim injunction has been dismissed.

2. Brief facts of the case are that the petitioners—Sachin Bali and another—filed a suit for permanent injunction for restraining the respondent from interfering in their peaceful possession and from dispossessing them from the suit property, as well as from selling, transferring, alienating or mortgaging the same. Notice of the said suit was issued to the respondent/defendant; however, till date, he has not been served. The petitioners/plaintiffs had also filed ad interim application i.e. IA-1-2026 for pre-ponement of the date of hearing and for decision of the application under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908. The said application was disposed of as infructuous on 25.02.2026.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for setting aside the impugned order dated 25.02.2026 (Annexure P-6) passed by the learned trial Court, SBS Nagar, in Civil Suit No. CS-503-2025, whereby the application filed by the petitioner for grant of ad interim injunction has been dismissed in an illegal and arbitrary manner. He further submits that the said application be decided on merits.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have perused the paper book.

5. In view of the nature of the order proposed to be passed, issuance of notice to the respondent is dispensed with, as the same would only delay the proceedings and entail unnecessary expenses.

6. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and the fact that simply notice has been issued to the respondent/defendant and the application under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 has not yet been decided on merits, the present revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), SBS Nagar, that upon appearance of the respondent/defendant, the application under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 CPC shall be decided on merits as expeditiously as possible.

7. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

24.03.2026
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No

