



CRM-M-12433-2026 (O&M)

2026:PHHC:075553

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

CRM-M-12433-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.05.2026

RAGHVINDER

PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Karan Pathak, Advocate,
Mr. Sukhcharan Sra, Advocate and
Mr. Rehan Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Kshitij Bharti, AAG Haryana.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case vide FIR No.61 dated 15.12.2025 under Sections 78 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023 and Sections 67, 67-A of Information Technology Act, 2000, Police Station Cyber Crime Bhiwani, District Bhiwani. This is the first petition for anticipatory bail.

2. On 07.03.2026, following order was passed:-

“Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case arising out of FIR No. 0061 dated 15.12.2025 under Section 78 Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 67, 67-A of Information Technology Act, 2000, Police Station Cyber Crime Bhiwani, District Bhiwani. This is the first petition for anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, an Instagram link was received on mobile phone of the complainant from an unknown mobile number, which revealed an obscene video of a man and a woman. Later, complainant received another video reel of 31 seconds from the same mobile number in which a man and an unknown person were seen in objectionable condition. It is argued that petitioner had no connection with the mobile phone number disclosed in the FIR by the complainant nor had anything to do with the Instagram link. He was the husband of the lady, who could be seen in the objectionable video. He further submits that petitioner had been falsely implicated



CRM-M-12433-2026 (O&M)

on account of a matrimonial dispute with the lady, who could be seen in the objectionable video. The lady earlier got an FIR registered against the petitioner under Section 376 IPC, wherein the petitioner had been acquitted. Petitioner had clean antecedents and was ready and willing to join investigation.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, DAG Haryana, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail on the ground that investigation was yet to be conducted regarding ownership of the mobile number disclosed in the FIR and the link sent on mobile phone of the complainant. She seeks some time to file status report in the matter.

Same be filed on 08.04.2026.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim anticipatory bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. Petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel concedes that petitioner had joined investigation and further custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.
4. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, as enumerated above, but without commenting on merits, order dated 07.03.2026, granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to conditions laid down in Section 482(2) Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.
5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

13.05.2026
HS.CHAUHAN

*Whether Speaking/Reasoned :
Whether Reportable :*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*