



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CWP-6826-2026
Date of Decision: 14.05.2026

CHANDRAVIR SINGH

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Nitika Malik, Advocate and
Ms. Harmanpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the memorandum dated 07.01.2026, Annexure P-13, containing the statement of allegations and charges against the petitioner.

2. On 24.04.2026, learned State counsel contended that the Department was unable to take further action on the chargesheet issued vide the impugned memorandum, since the reply submitted thereto by the petitioner dated 27.01.2026, was unsigned. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner, accordingly, handed over a signed copy of the reply dated 02.04.2026, to learned State counsel on the last date of hearing, i.e., 28.04.2026.



3. Learned State counsel has received instructions from Director, Secondary Education, vide memo dated 13.05.2026, which is retained on the case file as Annexure 'A'. Referring the same, she submits that after considering the petitioner's reply, an enquiry officer has been appointed to enquire into the charges of misconduct against the petitioner and he has been asked to appear before the officer.

4. In the light of these facts, learned senior counsel has no objection to the petition being disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to raise all appropriate pleas during the disciplinary enquiry.

5. Ordered accordingly.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

14.05.2026

Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No