



CRM-M-11888-2026

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

CRM-M-11888-2026

Date of decision: 24.03.2026

AMANDEEP KAUR @ AMANDEEP KAUR BRAR

....Petitioner

Versus**STATE OF PUNJAB**

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Shukla, DAG, Punjab.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short "BNSS"), the petitioners seek anticipatory bail in case FIR No.10 dated 18.01.2026 registered under Sections 108 and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Nihal Singh Wala, District Moga.

2. On 06.03.2026, the following order was passed by this Court: -

"Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.10 dated 18.01.2026 registered under Sections 108 and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Nihal Singh Wala, District Moga.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submitted that the petitioner has no direct link with the



death of the deceased Kulwinder Singh (son of the complainant). No complaint was ever filed by the deceased Kulwinder Singh during his life time against the petitioner and her husband to show that the present petitioner was harassing the deceased. He further argued that if the prosecution version is taken to be true, even then no specific role has been attributed to the present petitioner and he was intentionally named in the FIR only on the basis of suspicion without any concrete evidence. He further argued that name of the petitioner cropped up merely because she is aunt of Muskandeep Kaur to whom the deceased (son of the complainant) wanted to marry. It has also been contended that the allegations as entailed in the FIR do not satisfy the ingredients of Section 108 of BNS. Moreover, the petitioner has clean antecedents as she is not involved in any other case and no recovery is to be effected from her. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ravinder Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and seeks time to file status report in the matter.

Adjourned to 24.03.2026.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, she shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”

**CRM-M-11888-2026**

3

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 06.03.2026 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Iqbal Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 06.03.2026 passed by this Court, is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

24.03.2026*Gurpreet***(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE**

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No