



233

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8053-2021 (O&M)  
DECIDED ON: 11.05.2026

MOHAN RATURI AND ORS

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

....RESPONDENT(S)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: None for the petitioner(s).  
Mr. Samrit Gill, Advocate and  
Mr. Shivoy Dhir, Advocate  
Sr. Panel Counsel for the respondent-UOI.  
None for private respondents.  
\*\*\*

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)**

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ order or direction specially in the nature of Petitionary quashing the final selection list of Sub-Inspector/General Duty dated 04.07.018 & 13.07.2018 (Annexure P-6 & P-7), on the ground that selection is based on malpractice and favoritism as per message dated 01.09.2017 (Annexure P-1) for conducting Limited Departmental Competitive(SI/GD) Exam-2017 to fill up 131 Vacancies(Gen-109, SC-14 & ST-12), but appointed 137 persons, thus 06 artificial posts has been created, despite this 10 posts pertaining to General Category has been artificially shifted to the SC & ST Category, only 95 General Category candidates has been selected instead of 105 vacancies reserved for General Category, two times date of examination has been changed and medical



review has been conducted who were unfit in medical the impugned orders are absolutely capricious, non-informed, discriminatory, biased, illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional violation of Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India as well as against the principal of natural justice, further issue a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to select the petitioners as SI/GD Limited Departmental Competitive(SI/GD) Exam-2017, being in merit since the petitioners are fully eligible and qualified.

Despite having been informed by the Registry about the next date of hearing, i.e. today, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. On the last date of hearing as well, learned counsel for the petitioner was not available.

Perusal of the previous order dated 19.01.2026 shows that filing of process fee and service of summons upon respondents No.1 to 4 was waived off. However, according to the office report, service upon respondents No. 5 to 141 has still not been effected.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner is also not available in this case since two consecutive dates to assist the Court.

In light of the above, it is difficult for this Court to proceed further in the matter and an inference is drawn that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present petition.

Accordingly, the same is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)**  
**JUDGE**

**11.05.2026**  
*anuradha*

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No  
*Whether reportable* : Yes/No