



2026:PHHC:075086

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2026:PHHC:075086



214

CRM-M-10617-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.5.2026

Date of uploading: 13.5.2026

Devrishi Gupta @ Dewarshi

....Petitioner

V/s

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No. 133 dated 01.09.2025, registered under Sections 318(4) and 61 of B.N.S, 2023, at Police Station Cyber Crime Karnal, District Karnal.

2. The gravamen of the FIR in question reflects that the present FIR was registered on the basis of complaint moved by Ramesh Chand son of Ram Sarup, resident of village Aibla, District Karnal stating that he is working as Assistant Manager at Power Grid Corporation India. On 7.6.2025, he received a WhatsApp message from Mobile no.9601693173 on his mobile no.8427672659 for joining WhatsApp group under the promise of getting 10-30% profit. Thereafter, he talked to accused on

aforesaid mobile number. He disclosed his name as Ram Manohar and disclosed that in case he would invest in aforesaid App, he will get 10 to 30% profit and also disclosed so many persons have already joined the group. He then joined the said APP through Ram Manohar and got downloaded FearPe App in his mobile and asked him to invest on the said App through his wife's investment ID, on asking of accused he invested amount in various bank accounts from time to time and he was sent receipts of Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) Thereafter, complainant became suspicious and got disabled the abovesaid App. Accused stopped talking to him. Complainant was duped with an amount of 35,00,000/-(Thirty Five Lacs). Upon these set of allegations the instant FIR came to be registered against accused persons.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner is in custody since 30.10.2025. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has further argued that the petitioner was merely helping the FIR-complainant so as to enable the FIR-complainant to earn further money. He has further argued that in any case a settlement/compromise has been effected between the rival parties. Thus, regular bail is prayed for.

4. Learned State counsel has filed status report dated 12.05.2026 in Court today, which is taken on record. She while raising submissions in tandem with the said status report has opposed the present petition by arguing that the allegations raised against the petitioner are serious in nature and, thus, he does not deserve the concession of the regular bail.

Learned State counsel seeks to place on record custody certificate dated 12.5.2026, which is taken on record.

4.1. Learned counsel for the complainant has ratified the factum of matter having been settled between the rival parties.

5. I have heard counsel for the rival parties and have gone through the available records of the case.

6. The petitioner was arrested on 30.10.2025 whereinafter investigation was carried out and challan was presented 26.12.2025. Total 8 prosecution witness have been cited, out of which only none has been examined till date. The rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties; including the weightage required to be attached to the settlement stated to have been entered between the parties, shall be gone into during the course of the trial. Nothing tangible has been brought forward to indicate the likelihood of the petitioners absconding from the process of justice or interfering with the prosecution evidence.

As per custody certificate filed today, the petitioner has undergone 6 months and 12 days & is not shown to be involved in any other case/FIR.

Suffice to say, the further detention of the petitioners in custody is not required in the facts and circumstances of the case.

7. In view of the above, the petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate. However, in addition to conditions that may be imposed by the concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate, the petitioner shall remain bound by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall not mis-use the liberty granted.
- (ii) The petitioner shall not tamper with any evidence, oral or documentary, during the trial.
- (iii) The petitioner shall not absent himself on any date before the trial.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail.
- (v) The petitioner shall deposit his passport, if any, with the trial Court.
- (vi) The petitioner shall give his cell phone number to the Investigating Officer/SHO of concerned Police Station and shall not change his cell-phone number without prior permission of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate.
- (vii) The petitioner shall not in any manner try to delay the trial.

8. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions and those which may be imposed by concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate as directed hereinabove or upon showing any other sufficient cause, the State/complainant shall be at liberty to move cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

9. Ordered accordingly.

10. Nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

May 13, 2026
Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No