



In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Criminal Misc. No. M-9340 of 2026

Date of Decision: 23.03.2026

Sukhwinder alias Sukhmander Singh and Another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Surya Partap Singh.

Present: Mr. Akash Ptyal, Advocate
for Mr. P.K.S.Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. J.S.Thind, Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab, for the respondent.

Surya Partap Singh, J.

1. This petition for pre-arrest bail is the first petition filed by the petitioners under Section 482 of 'the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023'. It has been filed with regard to a case arising out of FIR No. 92 dated 14.05.2025, for the commission of offence punishable under Section(s) 331(3), 331(4), 305 and 3(5) of 'the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023' Police Station Sadar Bathinda, District Bathinda, Punjab.

2. Vide order dated 18.02.2026, the petitioners were admitted to interim anticipatory bail, subject to the condition of furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of arresting officer. It was also directed that the petitioners shall join the investigation.

3. Heard.

4. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that

**Criminal Misc. No. M-9340 of 2026**

in compliance with order dated 18.02.2026, the petitioners have joined the investigation. In view of above learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that the order dated 18.02.2026 be made absolute.

5. The learned State counsel has controverted the above-mentioned arguments. According to learned State counsel although the petitioners have joined the investigation, but they have been non-cooperative, and that the stolen property is yet to be recovered. However, during the course of arguments, it has been revealed by the learned State counsel that the supplementary challan qua petitioners has already been filed in this case.

6. The record has been perused carefully.

7. In view of the fact that the investigation qua petitioners already stands concluded, it is hereby held that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not likely to produce any result. Hence, the present petition is hereby **allowed**, and the order dated 18.02.2026, whereby the petitioners were accorded the benefit of interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute.

(Surya Partap Singh)
Judge

March 23, 2026

“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No