



267

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-9465-2026
Date of decision: 11.05.2026**

BUTA SINGH AND ANOTHER**....Petitioners**

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER**....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Varun Singla, Advocate for
Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinderjit S. Nahar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Akash Bharti, Advocate with
Ms. Radhika, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

H.S. GREWAL, J (Oral):

The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.04, dated 10.01.2023, under Sections 326, 323, 34 of IPC and offence under Section 201 of IPC added later on, registered at Police Station Khem Karan, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 24.11.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the FIR is outcome of an unfortunate accident. It is submitted that the dispute between the parties has now been resolved and with the intervention of the respectables of the Society, the matter has indeed been compromised. He



has referred to the compromise dated 24.11.2025 (Annexure P-2), in this regard and prays for quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. This Court vide order dated 18.02.2026 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class Patti and got their statements recorded. A copy of report dated 08.05.2026 has been submitted to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

5. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondents No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

6. Mr. Akash Bharti, Advocate appeared and filed *Vakalatnama* on behalf of respondent No.2. The same is taken on record.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

8. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

9. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.04, dated 10.01.2023, under Sections 326, 323, 34 of IPC and offence under Section



2026:PHHC:073668

CRM-M-9465-2026

3

2026:PHHC:073668



201 of IPC added later on, registered at Police Station Khem Karan, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners are hereby quashed.

10. Pending application, if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

11.05.2026
monika

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes /No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes /No |