



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026:PHHC:075097

206

CRM-M-8416-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.05.2026

Sunil Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Harsh Jain, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG Haryana

Mr. Jainainder Saini and Ms. Shilpa Saini, Advocates
for the complainant

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.349 dated 11.11.2025, registered under Sections 108 & 3(5) BNS, at Police Station Sadar Hansi, Haryana.
2. On 16.02.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case FIR No.349 dated 11.11.2025, registered under Sections 108 & 3(5) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station Sadar, Hansi.

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that as per the allegations made in the FIR, there is specific stand taken by the complainant that the petitioner had issued six signed blank cheques to the deceased. He submits that these cheques were never got dishonoured. He, thus, submits that the allegations pertaining to the instigation on the part of the petitioner, are totally without any justification. He submits that no prima facie case under Section 108 BNS is made out against the petitioner and he deserves to be granted anticipatory bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Adjourned to 07.04.2026.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner



shall join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer. He shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023:-

(i) That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iii) That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the court.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Jai Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 16.02.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

13.05.2026
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No