



2026:PHHC:059862



2026:PHHC:059862

CRM-M-7938-2026

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

279

**CRM-M-7938-2026
Date of decision: 21.04.2026**

SUNIL KUMAR

.... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Govind Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Geeta Rani, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Jangra, Advocate for respondent No.2.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.0336 dated 04.10.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 318,(4), 338 and 340 BNS, 2023 at Police Station Pataudi, District Gurugram along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner and respondent No.2.

Vide order dated 11.02.2026 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 06.02.2026.

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 11.02.2026 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Pataudi, and as per the report dated 16.03.2026 submitted to this Court, both



2026:PHHC:059862



2026:PHHC:059862

CRM-M-7938-2026

2

the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complaint coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Pataudi accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.0336 dated 04.10.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 318,(4), 338 and 340 BNS, 2023 at Police Station Pataudi, District Gurugram along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

21.04.2026

Kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No