



FAO-4251-2002

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(227)

FAO-4251-2002

Date of Decision : 13.05.2026

RAMESH KUMAR

...Appellant

Versus

SUBHASH CHAND

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Mayank Yadav, Advocate
for the respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Deepak Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Ram Avtar, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Oriental Insurance Company.

Mr. Neeraj Raizada, Advocate
for respondent No.6-United Insurance Company.

Amarinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. No one has appeared on behalf of the appellants for a considerable period of time. It has also been reported that the appellant has since expired.

2. In view of the above, it is apparent that the appellant is no longer interested in pursuing the present appeal. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the legal representatives of the appellant to seek revival of the appeal, subject to limitation, upon showing sufficient cause.

3. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

May 13, 2026
Poonam

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No