



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

230

CR-1372-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.05.2026

Mukesh Kumar Garg

.... Petitioner

Versus

Anita Goyal

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Petitioner-Mukesh Kumar Garg in person with
Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate.

Respondent-Anita Goyal in person
Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present revision petitioner/tenant laying challenge to the eviction order 13.03.2019 passed by the learned Rent Controller, Kaithal whereby the eviction of the petitioner from the demised premises was ordered.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the entire dispute stands settled between the parties by way of Compromise Deed dated 14.05.2026, as per which the petitioner-tenant has undertaken to vacate the demised premises on or before 15.08.2026. A photocopy of the aforesaid Compromise Deed dated 14.05.2026 handed over is taken on record. Both the parties who are present in person have also admitted the factum of compromise and furnished photocopies of their Aadhar Cards duly identified their respective counsel. The same are also taken on record.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition.
4. Permitted to do so.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn.
6. Needless to say that both the parties shall abide by the terms and conditions of the aforesaid Compromise Deed dated 14.05.2026.
7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

15.05.2026
rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No