



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(228)

RSA-2712-2002 (O&M)**Date of Decision:-11.05.2026****YASHPAL AND OTHERS**

... Appellants

Versus

RESHAM KAUR

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Tushar, Advocate
for Mr. Roshan Lal Sharma, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. B.S. Bhinder, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for both the parties submitted that they have no instructions from their clients. This is a suit for permanent injunction instituted in the year 1994.

2. As such, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the case on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

11th May, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No