



2026:PHHC:075437

2026:PHHC:075433



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

CM-7738-CWP-2026 IN/AND
CWP-1528-2020
Decided On:13.05.2026

KRISHAN KUMAR AND OTHERS

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Tej Pal Singh Dhull, Advocate for the applicants/petitioners

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. AG Haryana for the non applicant/State

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (ORAL)

* * * *

CM-7738-CWP-2026

The instant application has been moved under Section 151 CPC for allowing the main writ petition in view of judgment dated 16.04.2026 (A-1) rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in similar case.

Notice in the application to the non applicant/State.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. AG Haryana, having been served with an advance copy of application, accepts notice and submits his no objection to the prayer made in the application.

For the reasons stated in the application and in view of no objection submitted by learned State counsel, the same is allowed and the main case is taken on board today itself as ***Civil Appeal No.1996-2024*** titled ***Madan***



Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors. stands adjudicated by the Apex Court vide its judgment dated 16.04.2026.

CM stands disposed of.

Main Case

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus to consider the claim of the petitioner for regularization in light of policy decision dated 18.06.2014 (P-1) and 14.08.2014 (P-2) or in the alternative in light of the policy decision dated 07.07.2014 (P-4).

2. The petitioners were appointed as Canal Patwari on contract basis during the year 2007-2008.

3. The petitioners' claim is to be covered under the policy dated 18.06.2014 (Annexure P-1) as well as by the dictum laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Civil Appeal No. 1996 of 2024*** titled ***Madan Singh and Others vs. State of Haryana and Others***, along with a bunch of connected appeals.

4. Learned State counsel submits that he has no objection to the aforesaid proposal put forth by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. Accordingly, the respondents/competent authority, is directed to examine the individual claims for regularization of the petitioners as has been assured by learned State counsel in ***LPA No.1810-2025*** titled as ***Aas Mohammad & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.*** along with other connected appeals vide order dated 23.04.2026 to be adjudicated in the light of the



principles laid down in *Madan Singh's case* (supra), after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and by passing a speaking order. A copy of the speaking order so passed shall be supplied to the petitioners within one week thereafter.

6. It is further clarified that all the pleas raised in the present petition and the grounds taken therein shall be duly considered at the time of adjudication of the petitioners' case before passing the speaking order, after affording an opportunity of hearing.

7. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

13.05.2026

Naina Rajput

Whether speaking/reasoned

:Yes/No

Whether reportable

:Yes/No