



2026:PHHC:075656

2026:PHHC:075656



256

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

--

**CRM-M-5576-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 13.05.2026**

Mandeep @ Balla and Others

....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and Others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate and
Mr. Varun Puri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S.Nahar, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Deepti Rampal, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3

--

H.S.GREWAL J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of FIR No.0202 dated 26.09.2020, under Sections 452, 324, 323, 506 and 34 IPC at Police Station Division No.2, District Pathankot (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR is the outcome of the dispute arisen between the neighbours. It is submitted that the dispute between the parties has now been resolved and with the intervention of the respectables of the Society, the matter has indeed been compromised. He has referred to the compromise (Annexure P-2), in this regard and prays for quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. This Court vide order dated 02.02.2026 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.



CRM-M-5576-2025 (O&M)

-2-

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class Pathankot and got their statements recorded. Report dated 06.03.2026 has been submitted to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

5. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

7. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

8. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0202 dated 26.09.2020, under Sections 452, 324, 323, 506 and 34 IPC at Police Station Division No.2, District Pathankot (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

9. Pending application, if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

May 13, 2026
tripti

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Speaking
Whether reportable : Yes/No