



2026:PHHC:076558

2026:PHHC:076558

CRM-M-3951-2026

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

**CRM-M-3951-2026
Decided on : 14.05.2026**

Vijay Kumar

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Kinshu Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Vijay Kumar, aged 48 years	21	01.03.2025	22, 29, 31, 61 and 85 of NDPS Act	City Samana	Patiala

2. As per the case of the prosecution, recovery of 1009 loose intoxicating tablets, containing Alprazolam salt, was effected from the main accused, namely Vijay Kumar, from a black coloured polythene bag which he was carrying in his hand. After the arrest of the said accused, it was confirmed that the tablets contained Alprazolam salt weighing 120.071 grams, which is 20.071 grams more than the maximum limit of non-commercial quantity.



2026:PHHC:076558



2026:PHHC:076558

CRM-M-3951-2026**2**

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that only 20.07 grams of salt of Alprazolam is recovered from the possession of the petitioner, which is more than the maximum of the non-commercial quantity i.e. 100 grams and the petitioner being inside jail for the last more than a period of 01 year and 02 months be enlarged on bail.

4. Learned State counsel, while vehemently opposing the prayer for bail, submits that the petitioner is a habitual criminal in NDPS cases and submits that since the age of 20 years, he is found indulged 13 times in the cases under the NDPS Act. Therefore, learned State counsel submits that keeping in view the antecedents of the petitioner and the gravity of the offence, he does not deserve the concession of bail at this stage.

5. No ground for bail is made out for such an accused, who has neither sense of remorse about his illegal activity and habitually criminal accused under the NDPS Act. Out of 13 cases, in 03 cases petitioner already stands convicted and for the last 28 years, he never developed the sense to rehabilitate himself in the Society.

6. Petition is dismissed.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

May 14, 2026*reena***(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:**Yes/No
Yes/No*