



2026:PHHC:073670

CRM-M-3890-2026

1

2026:PHHC:073670



**263 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-3890-2026
Date of decision: 11.05.2026**

**TUSHAR ARORA AND OTHERSPetitioners
Versus
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHERRespondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Amandeep Singh Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Lovepreet Handa, Advocate for respondent No.2.

H.S. GREWAL, J (Oral):

The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.0326, dated 28.09.2024, under Sections 115, 190, 191(2), 287, 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25(1-B)(a) of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Bhondsi, Gurugram (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise and affidavit of respondent No.2 dated 31.05.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the FIR is outcome of an unfortunate accident. It is submitted that the dispute between the parties has now been resolved and with the intervention of the respectables of the Society, the matter has indeed been compromised. He has referred to the compromise and affidavit of respondent No.2 dated 31.05.2025 (Annexure P-2), in this regard and prays for quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. This Court vide order dated 23.01.2026 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the



2026:PHHC:073670

CRM-M-3890-2026

2

2026:PHHC:073670



learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Sohna, Gurugram and got their statements recorded. A copy of report dated 09.03.2026 has been submitted to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

5. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

7. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

8. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0326, dated 28.09.2024, under Sections 115, 190, 191(2), 287, 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25(1-B)(a) of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Bhondsi, Gurugram (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners are hereby quashed. The recovered weapon is ordered to be confiscated to the State.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

11.05.2026
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /No