



2026:PHHC:076416

CRM-M-3198-2024 (O&M)

1

2026:PHHC:076416



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

261

**CRM-M-3198-2024 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 14.05.2026.**

Sajid alias Sehjad and others

....Petitioners.

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

None for respondents No.2 and 3.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners (1) Sajid @ Sehjad, (2) Fasin @ Farid, (3) Nadim @ Aarif, and (4) Ausama, have filed the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.79 dated 24.02.2021 under Sections 323, 324, 506 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Nuh, District Nuh, with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 12.01.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The present petition is pending since January, 2024.

3. On 14.02.2024, on appearance of the petitioners, notice was issued and same day learned State counsel and Mr. Aazam Khan, Advocate appeared on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 and filed his Vakalatnama.



CRM-M-3198-2024 (O&M)

2

Direction was issued to get recorded the statements before the Court of learned trial Court/Area Magistrate and then report was called for as to whether the compromise between the parties is genuine or not.

4. On 16.01.2025, Court was informed that petitioners No.1 and 2, who were juveniles, were already acquitted by the Juvenile Justice Board and, therefore, in regard to petitioners No.3 and 4, again direction was issued in the presence of the parties to get their statements recorded. As per report dated 20.08.2025 forwarded by the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Nuh, none appeared from both the sides, therefore, no statement, except the statement of Investigating Officer, could be recorded.

5. Today also there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

6. As petition is pending since January, 2024, this Court is left with no other option except to dismiss the same for want of prosecution. Accordingly, the **petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.**

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

14.05.2026

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No