

**CRM-M-2613-2026 (O&M)**

-1-

209-1**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

-.-

**CRM-M-2613-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.03.2026**

Amrinder Singh @ Sabi Touri

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present: Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanav Singla, AAG Punjab.

-.-

MANDEEP PANNU J. (Oral)

1. This is the first petition under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 seeking grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 0014 dated 19.09.2025, registered under Sections 111, 318(4), 61(2), of BNS (Sections 237, 238, 336(3), 340 BNS, 2023 added later on) and Sections 66(C) and 66(D) of the Information Technology Act, 2000, at Police Station Cyber Crime, Kapurthala.

2. Brief facts of the case are that on 19.09.2025, on the basis of secret information, a raid was conducted at Taj Villas Hotel, Chahal Nagar, Phagwara, where an illegal call centre was allegedly being run. The said operation was being conducted by Amrinder Singh @ Sabi Touri (present petitioner), along with Jaspreet Singh, Saajan Madan, Varun @ Rohan and other accused persons. During the raid, several persons were found operating computer systems and allegedly involved in cyber fraud activities. The police found multiple computer cabins with individuals working in a coordinated manner. Various accused including Paras

**CRM-M-2613-2026 (O&M)**

-2-

Malhotra, Anshul, Bharat Sharma and Ujwal were also found connected with the said operation. From the spot, recoveries were effected including a large number of laptops, mobile phones, electronic devices, Wi-Fi equipment and several vehicles. Importantly, a substantial amount of cash, approximately ₹10,00,000, was also recovered. It is further the case of the prosecution that a total of 38 accused persons were arrested on the spot on 19.09.2025 from the premises being used as a fake call centre.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he has been falsely implicated in the present case and has no direct role in the alleged offences. It is argued that the petitioner is not involved in any act of cheating or fraud and has been roped in merely on the basis of disclosure statements. Learned counsel submits that there is no concrete evidence to connect the petitioner with the alleged cyber fraud and no recovery has been effected from him to substantiate the allegations. It is further contended that no victim has come forward to allege that any fraud has been committed by the petitioner, thereby casting serious doubt on the prosecution story. The petitioner claims to have been in custody for a considerable period and undertakes to abide by all conditions imposed by this Court. It is also argued that the investigation is complete and the challan has already been presented, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by further incarceration of the petitioner.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the petitioner is the main accused who had taken the entire Taj Villas Hotel on lease and was running the illegal call centre in an organized and systematic manner. It is contended that the petitioner, along with co-accused, was actively involved in orchestrating a large-scale cyber fraud racket targeting

**CRM-M-2613-2026 (O&M)**

-3-

foreign nationals by using sophisticated technological means. It is further submitted that on his disclosure, substantial recoveries have been effected, including ₹10 lakh from him and ₹2.05 crore from co-accused, along with other incriminating articles. The magnitude of the fraud, the organized nature of the operation and the involvement of multiple accused clearly indicate a well-planned criminal conspiracy. It is also submitted that the petitioner is in custody for the last about five months and 17 days, however, considering his pivotal role and the seriousness of allegations, he does not deserve the concession of bail.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after perusing the record, this Court finds that the allegations against the petitioner are grave and serious in nature. The material on record prima facie reveals that the petitioner is the main architect of the entire operation, who had taken the hotel on rent and established an illegal call centre equipped with computers and other devices to carry out cyber fraud. The petitioner not only facilitated the infrastructure but also managed and supervised the functioning of the call centre, enabling the accused persons to dupe innocent individuals within and beyond the country. The recoveries effected pursuant to his disclosure, including substantial amounts of cash and other incriminating material, further strengthen the case of the prosecution. The nature of allegations, the scale of operations, and the amount involved, which runs into crores of rupees, reflect the seriousness of the offence. The contention regarding absence of complainants at this stage does not dilute the gravity of the offence in view of the material collected during investigation. The plea of parity with other co-accused is also misconceived, as the role attributed to the present petitioner is distinct, central and far more serious than that of the other accused who have been granted bail.



CRM-M-2613-2026 (O&M)

-4-

6. Considering the overall facts and circumstances, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for grant of regular bail. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

7. However, nothing observed herein shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case

8. Pending application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

March 20, 2026
tripti

(MANDEEP PANNU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Speaking
Whether reportable : Yes/No