



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

**CRM-M-2212-2026
Decided on : 23.04.2026**

Major Singh . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. S.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Jasvir Singh.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Major Singh, aged about 60 years	246	15.12.2025	118(1), 3(5) of BNS [in which later on vide DDR No.26, dated 24.12.2025, offence u/s 117(2), 118(2) of BNS, 2023, added later on]	Nathana	Bathinda

2. In the present case, on 16.01.2026, following order was passed by this Court:-

“2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that injured/complainant, Jagsir Singh, suffered total five injuries, out of which only two injuries have been declared grievous.

3. In order to highlight the nature of the injuries, learned counsel refers to the Medico-Legal Report dated 13.12.2025, wherein the details of the injuries have been recorded.

4. It is further submitted that injury Nos.1 and 4 have been declared grievous and, as per the allegations, the same are attributed to the



petitioner's son, namely Sher Singh. Petitioner himself has been attributed only injury No.5, which has neither been declared grievous nor dangerous to life. Thus, counsel prays for grant of the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

5. *Notice of motion.*

6. *On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.*

7. *Adjourned to 23.04.2026.*

8. *Let status report be filed by learned State counsel after verifying that whether further examination/investigation for injury No.5 was done, or not.*

9. *Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

10. *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 16.01.2026, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 23.01.2026 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 16.01.2026, passed by this Court is hereby made **absolute**.



However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands **disposed of**, accordingly.

8. However, present order would be subject to the submission of passport of the petitioner to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

April 23, 2026
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No