



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

COCp No.112 of 2025 (O & M)**Date of decision :-11.05.2026****Sudarshana Batra****.....Petitioner****Versus****Amit Arora****.....Respondent****CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA**

Present: Mr. Ranjeet S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Govind Chauhan, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Nitin Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2-HSVP.

NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)

Present contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of order dated 18.07.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-14616-2024 titled as “Sudarshna Batra vs. District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Faridabad and others”, whereby the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Faridabad was directed to decide Execution Application No. 79 of 2023 as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of four months from the date fixed before it.

A perusal of the aforesaid order shows that the direction issued by the writ Court was essentially to ensure expeditious disposal of the execution proceedings pending before the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Faridabad.



Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that the petitioner had initially filed a consumer complaint before the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Faridabad, which came to be allowed vide order dated 21.03.2023. It is further submitted that the appeal preferred by the respondents before the learned State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission stood dismissed vide order dated 24.03.2025.

Learned counsel further submits that against the aforesaid order, the respondents have already filed Second Appeal No. 257 of 2025 before the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, which is presently pending adjudication. It is also submitted that during pendency of the aforesaid proceedings, the petitioner had approached this Court by way of CWP-14616-2024 seeking a direction for expeditious disposal of Execution Application No. 79 of 2023, wherein the order dated 18.07.2024 came to be passed.

It has further been brought to the notice of this Court that the respondents had challenged the order dated 18.07.2024 by filing LPA-1889-2025, which came to be dismissed vide order dated 07.07.2025. Against the same, the respondents have filed Special Leave Petition (Civil) Diary No. 75464 of 2025 titled as “Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others vs. Sudarshana Batra and another” before the Hon’ble Supreme Court, in which, vide order dated 02.2.2026, it has been directed that *“...the respondents make a prayer to the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission to decide the Second Appeal No.257 of 2025 as expeditiously as possible”*.

Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that pursuant to the aforesaid observations made by the Hon’ble Supreme



Court, an appropriate application has already been moved before the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission and the matter is now stated to be fixed for final arguments on 25.05.2026.

This Court has considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

At this stage, it cannot be said that there is any wilful or deliberate disobedience of the order passed by the writ Court, particularly when the matter is presently pending consideration before the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission and proceedings are stated to be moving forward for final adjudication. The respondents have also placed on record the subsequent developments including pendency of proceedings before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the directions issued therein for expeditious disposal of the second appeal pending before the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission.

In view of the above facts, and since the second appeal itself is now fixed for final arguments before the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission on 25.05.2026, no further direction is required to be issued.

Accordingly, the present contempt petition is **disposed of**.

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand(s) disposed of.

May 11, 2026
Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No
Whether Reportable Yes / No