



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

RSA-2480-2002 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.04.2026

GIANI RAM

...Appellant

Versus

JAGDISH AND ORS

...Respondents


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Arjun Dhaliwal, Advocate for
Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant, despite the fact that Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate, who was representing the appellant, has been duly informed through e-mail, as reported by the Registry.
2. A perusal of the file reveals that a simple suit for permanent injunction was filed by the appellant Giani Ram, which was dismissed by the learned trial Court on 30.04.1999. The said judgment was upheld by the learned First Appellate Court on 19.10.2001. Thus, the present second appeal has been filed against the concurrent findings dismissing the suit for permanent injunction.
3. Since nobody is appearing on behalf of the appellant, it is presumed that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal.



Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. However, in case any cause of action still survives, the appellant is at liberty to get the same revived.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

April 10, 2026

Nisha-1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No